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#### Abstract

Improvement of seed yield and oil content in safflower (Carthamus tinctorius L.) as an oilseed crop is the main objective of its breeding programs. However, little genetic information is available for these traits. GCA and SCA mean square were significant with preponderance of GCA effects for all the characters in individual environments and in pooled analysis except for primary branches per plant, biological yield and oil content in pooled analysis. The germplasm lines GMU 7359 and GMU 5394 were good general combiner for many of the important characters, specially seed yield, total capitula per plant, 100 seed weight, biological yield, harvest index and oil content in almost all the four analysis. The germplasm GMU 3806, GMU 5267 and GMU 5394 were good general combiners for earliness, short plant stature and primary branches per plant also. For SCA effects the best crosses identified in pooled analysis were GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 and GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 for seed yield. These crosses also recorded high heterotic effect, high per se performance and stability over varied environments. They also depicted high SCA effect and high heterosis for effective capitula per plant, 100 seed weight, biological yield and oil content. For early flowering the cross GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 196 and GMU 3806 x GMU 217 exhibited high SCA effect GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 196, GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 7359 and GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 recorded maximum negative SCA effects for days to maturity. Hybrid GMU $5267 \times$ GMU 5815, GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 and GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 217 exhibited maximum SCA effect for oil content.


## INTRODUCTION

Safflower (Carthamus tinctorious L.) belongs to Asteraceae family commonly known as "kusum" and has $2 \mathrm{n}=24$ chromosomes. Safflower (Carthamus tinctorius L.) is one of the important rabi oilseed crops of India, cultivated in vertisols under residual moisture in Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh and Bihar. Safflower is known for its cultivation since time immemorial, either for orange red dye extracted from its florets and for its much valued oil. Latha and Prakash (1984) have reported that the seed contains 27.5 per cent oil, 15 per cent protein, 41 per cent crude fiber and 2.3 per cent ash. Safflower oil, which on average contains $75 \%$ linoleic acid, also contains tocopherols, known to have antioxidant effect and high vitamin E content. For this reason, safflower oil is used in the diets of patients with cardiovascular disease, and bears great importance forits anti-cholesterol effect. Safflower oil cake is a valuable animal feed (Weiss, 2000). In India, safflower is grown in 229 thousand hectares with a production of 143 thousand tonnes (2010-11) and ranked
first in area and second in production accounting for 60 per cent and 45 per cent of global area and production respectively (Anonymous, 2010). Safflower is a predominantly selfpollinated crop; however, it may have some out crossing depending on genotype and insect activity (Weiss, 2000; Knowles, 1969).
Diallel analysis can provide the necessary genetic information for breeding programs (Hill et al., 2001), and has been frequently used to obtain the genetic information regarding various traits in different crops (Bolanos et al., 2001; Stoddard and Herath, 2001; Guines et al., 2002). Diallel analysis is usually conducted according to the Griffing method (1956) which partitions the total variation of the trait in $F_{1}$ progenies into General Combining Ability (GCA) of their parents and Specific Combining Ability (SCA) of the crosses. Genetic analysis based upon $F_{1}$ progenies in diallel crosses has been previously used in safflower (Mandal and Banerjee, 1997), but it seems that using germplasm lines in diallel analysis has not been established so far since there is not enough genetic information regarding seed yield, yield components and oil
content of the seed in safflower, the objectives of this study were to study magnitude of heterosis and to estimate the general and specific combining ability for seed yield, its components and quality traits.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ten diverse germplasm lines of safflower were received from Directorate of Oilseed Research, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad and crossed using diallel mating design according to method2 and Model-I of Griffing (1956) during Rabi 2007-08. The resulted 45 crosses along with ten parents and one standard check planted in randomized block design with three replications under three distinct environments viz., Env.1Rainfed, early sown with fertilizer dose 30N:15P, Env. 2- One irrigation (at capitula development stage), normal sown with recommended dose of fertilizer 60N:30 P, Env.3-two irrigations (one at flowering and second at capitula development), late sown with fertilizer dose 90N:45P at Rajasthan College of Agriculture farm, Udaipur, in 2008-09. Each plot consist of one row of 4 meter length in each replication maintaining the inter and intra row spacing of 45 and 25 cm , respectively. Observations was recorded on 10 randomly selected competitive plants from each treatment in all the environments for characters plant height ( cm ), number of primary branches per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, total capitula on primary branches, number of effective capitula per plant, number of seeds per capitula on primary branches, number of seeds per capitula on secondary branches, 100seed weight, seed yield per plant, biological yield, harvest index, hull content, oil content and iodine value. Days to flowering and days to maturity were recorded on population basis. The recommended package of practices was followed to raise a healthy crop.
The combining ability analysis for single environment was carried out following Griffing (1956) Method-II, Model-I which assume that variety and block effects are constant (fixed) but environmental effect is variable. For statistical analysis in experiment, the below model was considered.
$\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{ijk}}=\mu+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{ij}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}} \sum \mathrm{eijk}$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance for combining ability is significant for all of the traits (Table 1). A perusal of the table revealed that mean square of GCA and SCA variance was significant for all the characters. This indicates variation in GCA of parents and SCA of crosses and significant combination of additive and non-additive gene effect in the expression of the characters.
General combining ability (GCA) effects in $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ diallel for all of the traits are presented in Table 2. For seed yield per plant GMU 7359 (10.39), GMU 5267 (6.39) and GMU 5815 (3.66) showed significant GCA effect for highest seed yield per plant. Highest significant SCA effect was showed by the cross GMU $217 \times$ GMU 5815 (23.06) followed by GMU $196 \times$ GMU 3806 (21.60) and GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 5267 (21.21) in pooled analysis for increased seed yield per plant.
Parents, GMU 3806 and GMU 196 exhibited significant positive GCA effect for oil content in all the environments including pooled over environments. The genotype GMU

3714 exhibited significant positive GCA effect in $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and pooled environment. Hybrid GMU 217 x GMU 5394 (2.90) exhibited highest SCA effect in pooled over environments for high oil content followed by GMU $196 \times$ GMU 5267 (2.80) and GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 5712 (2.72). Number of seeds per capitula on primary branches the genotype GMU 5815 in $\mathrm{E}_{1}$, $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ and Pooled, exhibited significant positive GCA effect. The hybrid GMU $196 \times$ GMU 3806 (14.62) depicted maximum SCA effect followed by GMU 217 X GMU 5815 (13.68) and GMU 5815 X GMU 5267 (11.71) for number of seeds per capitula on primary branches.
In general, there was considerable consistency for the results obtained from analysis of $F_{1}$ progenies in terms of GCA and SCA effect and in accordance with the findings of Ramachandram et al. (1981), Reddy (1983), Gupta et al. (1988), Pahlavani et al., 2007(a) and 2007(b).

Range of relative heterosis in $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ diallel analysis was -79.71 to 121.05 in $\mathrm{E}_{1},-77.98$ to 114.29 in $\mathrm{E}_{2},-79.41$ to 123.81 in $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ and -79.01 to 119.55 in $\mathrm{POE}_{123}$ and the cross GMU 3806 X GMU 264 (171.19) in $E_{1}$, and same cross combination in $E_{2}$ (160.32), in $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ (180.36) and in $\mathrm{POE}_{123}$ (170.22) exhibited the highest heterosis for seed yield per plant and same cross combination exhibited the highest heterosis for number of seeds per capitula on primary branches.
The heterosis over better parents varied from 1.07 to 153.97 in $E_{1}, 1.56$ to 148.48 in $E_{2}, 3.33$ to 161.67 in $E_{3}$ and 1.97 to 154.50 in $\mathrm{POE}_{123}$. The cross GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 in $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ (153.97), and same cross combination in $E_{2}$ (148.48), in $E_{3}$ (161.67) and in $\mathrm{POE}_{123}$ (154.50) had the highest heterobeltiosis for seed yield per plant. The cross GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 in $E_{1}$ (62.69) and same cross combination in $E_{2}$ (59.42), in $E_{3}$ (47.06) and in $\mathrm{POE}_{123}$ (72.76) exhibited highest heterobeltiosis for number of seeds per capitula on primary branches.
For early flowering (heterosis in negative direction) the cross GMU $3806 \times$ GMU $5815(-4.69)$ in $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{2}(-2.72)$, GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 ( -3.95 ) in $E_{3}$ and as well as in pooled ( -3.32 ) exhibited the highest heterosis in desired direction. For plant height, the hybrid GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 3806 depicted highest significant negative heterosis in all the environments and over the environments.
Positive significant heterosis for seed yield and other attributes in safflower were also reported by Rao (1982), Reddy et al. (1985), Narkhede et al. (1987), Alone et al. (2003), Kaya (2005a), Sarode et al. (2008) and Shivani et al. (2010 and 2011).

It was found that GCA had contributions in genetic variation of the traits. Therefore, the additive effects of the genes were important in genetic variation of these traits and selection programs can improve them. For almost all of the traits, GCA variation among the parents had an acceptable consistency in diallel analyses. Combining ability analysis indicated that mean square due to both GCA and SCA effects were significant, but the GCA effect played a greater role in the genetic control of all the characters in individual environments, whereas in pooled analysis both GCA and SCA variances for all the traits except primary branches per plant, biological yield and oil content as for all these traits only GCA variance was significant. Cultivars GMU 3806 and GMU 7359 were good general
Table 1: Best hetrotric crosses for seed yield and Estimates of their genetic parameters based on combining ablity analysis

| Cross | Mean <br> Yield (g plant ${ }^{-1}$ ) | Heterobeltiosis | Economic heterosis | SCA effect | GCA <br> effect P1 | P2 | Significant heterobeltiosis / economic heterosis in other traits in desired direction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 3806 | 21.44 | 2.12** | - | -2.43** | -11.15* | 2.94** | Days to flower, plant height, 100 seeds weight, harvest index, oil content and iodine value. |
| GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 264 | 19.33 | - | - | -0.46** | -11.15* | -1.14* | Days to flower, plant height, oil content and iodine value. |
| GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 | 48.67 | 108.57** | - | 21.60** | 2.94** | -7.96* | Days to flower, plant height,PBs per plant, SBs per plant, total capitulaon PBs, effective capitula per plant, number of seeds per capitula on PBs, number of seeds per capitula on SBs, 100 seeds weight, biological yield per plant, harvest index, oil content. |
| GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 | 49.22 | 94.30** | - | 16.77** | 2.94** | -2.57* | Days to flower, plant height, PBs per plant, SBs per plant, total capitulaon PBs, effective capitula per plant, number of seeds per capitula on PBs, 100 seeds weight, ,biological yield per plant, harvest index, oil content and iodine value. |
| GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 | 53.44 | 154.50** | - | 19.56** | $2.94 * *$ | -1.14* | Days to flower, plant height, PBs per plant, SBs per plant, total capitula on PBs, effective capitula per plant, number of seeds per capitula on PBs, number of seeds per capitula on SBs, biological yield per plant. |
| GMU $5267 \times$ GMU 5394 | 55.56 | 9.89** | - | 18.15** | 6.39** | -1.06* | Days to flower, plant height, biological yield per plant and iodine value. |
| GMU 5267x GMU 5815 | 63.33 | 1.97** | - | 21.21** | 6.39** | 3.66** | Days to flower, days to maturity, plant height, number of seeds per capitula on PBs, number of seeds per capitula on SBs, biological yield per plant, oil content. |
| GMU $196 \times$ GMU 217 | 25.33 | - | - | 3.79** | -7.96* | -2.57* | Plant height, PBs per plant, SB s per plant, total capitulaon PBs , effective capitula per plant, oil content. |
| GMU $217 \times$ GMU 264 | 26.11 | 3.07** | - | $-2.26 * *$ | -2.57* | -1.14* | Days to flower, days to maturity, plant height, PBs per plant, SBs per plant, total capitulaon PBs, number of seeds per capitula on SBs. |

[^0]combiner for many of the important characters specially seed yield per plant, 100-seed weight, effective capitula per plant, biological yield, harvest index and oil content in heterosis and combining ability analysis. The GCA observed to be good in GMU 3806, GMU 3714 and GMU 196 for earliness, seeds per capitula, harvest index and oil content.

Crosses GMU 5814 X GMU 217, GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264, GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 and GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 exhibited the highest SCA effects in pooled analysis. This considerable genetic variation among crosses indicates that it is possible to find suitable combinations of the parental lines for hybrid cultivar production. Significant SCA mean squares for different traits in safflower were also reported by Pahlavani et al. (2007 and 2007a and Shivani et al., 2010.

The mean squares of SCA for the traits such as days to flowering and plant height were significant. Maximum negative SCA effect was exhibited by the cross GMU 5394 x GMU 196 and GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 for days to flower, by the cross GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 196, GMU 5712 x GMU 7359 and GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 for days to maturity and by the cross GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 3806 and GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 196 for plant height, while maximum positive SCA effect was showed by the GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 and GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 for number of primary branches per plant, GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 and GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 for number of secondary branches per plant, hybrids GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 and GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 for total capitula on primary branches, GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 and GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 for number of effective capitula per plant, hybrids GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 5394 and GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 for 100 seed weight, the hybrid GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 and GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 for number of seeds per capitula on primary branches, hybrids GMU $5267 \times$ GMU 5815 and GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 for number of seeds per capitula on secondary branches, hybrids GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 and GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 for seed yield, and hybrid GMU $5267 \times$ GMU 5815 for biological yield hybrids GMU $5267 \times$ GMU 5394, GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 217 and GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 264 for hull content, GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 196 and GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 217 for harvest index and GMU $5267 \times$ GMU 5815, GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 and GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 217 for oil content and GMU $217 \times$ GMU 264 for iodine value Table 3.
As mentioned by Kearsy and Pooni (1996), GCA effects provide a measure of the general potential of genetic material. Based on GCA of parental lines (Table 3), it can be concluded that for improvement of seed yield per plant the genotypes GMU 3714, GMU 3806, GMU 5815 and GMU 196 have good genetic potential. However, the highest mean of seed yield per plant in $F_{1}$ was obtained for crosses GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 and GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196, respectively. This result indicates that for achieving cultivars with high seed yield, both GCA and SCA effects should be considered. GMU 5815 and GMU 7359 were the best combiner parents in terms of the number of capitula per plant and seed per capitula, respectively.


| Characters | Best performing parent | Best General combiner | Best performing cross combination | Crosses with highest SCA effect | Hybrid with highest Heterosis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Heterobeltiosis | Economic heterosis |
| Days to flowering | GMU 5394 | GMU 5394 | GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 5815 |
|  | GMU 3806 | GMU 3806 | GMU 5267x GMU 5712 | GMU 5267x GMU 5712 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 5815 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 |
|  | GMU 3714 | GMU 3714 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 5712 |
| Days to maturity | GMU 5394 | GMU 5815 | GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 5815 |
|  | GMU 5815 | GMU 264 | GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 196 |
|  | GMU 264 | GMU 5394 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $196 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 |
| Plant height | GMU 217 | GMU 217 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 3806 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 3806 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 3806 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 3806 |
|  | GMU 3806 | GMU 3806 | GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 5267 | GMU 5267x GMU 217 |
|  | GMU 196 | GMU 196 | GMU 5267x GMU 217 | GMU 5267x GMU 217 | GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 196 |
| Number of PBs/ plant | GMU 7359 | GMU 7359 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 |
|  | GMU 5267 | GMU 5267 | GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $196 \times$ GMU 217 |  |
|  | GMU 5712 | GMU 3806 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 5815 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 5815 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 |  |
| Number of SBs/ plant | GMU 7359 | GMU 7359 | GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 |  |
|  | GMU 5267 | GMU 5267 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $196 \times$ GMU 217 |  |
|  | GMU 5712 | GMU 5712 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 5815 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 5815 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 |  |
| Total capitula on PBs | GMU 7359 | GMU 7359 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 |  |
|  | GMU 5267 | GMU 5267 | GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $196 \times$ GMU 217 |  |
|  | GMU 5712 | GMU 5712 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 |  |
| No.of effective capitula/ plant | GMU 5267 | GMU 5267 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 |  |
|  | GMU 7359 | GMU 7359 | GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 |  |
|  | GMU 5394 | GMU 5394 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 |  |
| No. of seeds/ capitula on PBs | GMU 5712 | GMU 5712 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 19 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 7359 |
|  | GMU 7359 | GMU 7359 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU 3806 x GMU 217 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 |
|  | GMU 3806 | GMU 3806 | GMU 5267x GMU 5815 | GMU 5267x GMU 5815 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU 5267x GMU 5815 |
| No. of seeds/ capitula on SBs | GMU 7359 | GMU 7359 | GMU 5267x GMU 5815 | GMU 5267x GMU 5815 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 3806 |  |
|  | GMU 3806 | GMU 3806,264 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU 5267x GMU 5815 |  |
|  | GMU 264 | GMU 5267 | GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $217 \times$ GMU 264 |  |
| 100 seed weight | GMU 7359 | GMU 7359 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 5394 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 5394 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 5394 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 |
|  | GMU 264 | GMU 264 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 |
|  | GMU 217 | GMU 217 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 7359 |
| Seed yield /plant | GMU 7359 | GMU 7359 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 |  |
|  | GMU 5267 | GMU 5267 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 |  |
|  | GMU 5815 | GMU 5815 | GMU 5267x GMU 5815 | GMU 5267x GMU 5815 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 |  |
| Hull content | GMU 3714 | GMU 3714 | GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 5394 | GMU $5267 \times$ GMU 7359 |
|  | GMU 5267 | GMU 5267 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 |  |
|  | GMU 196 | GMU 196 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 5267 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 5267 | GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 264 |  |
| Biological yield/plant | GMU 7359 | GMU 7359 | GMU 5267x GMU 5815 | GMU 5267x GMU 5815 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU 5267x GMU 5815 |
|  | GMU 5267 | GMU 5267 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 196 |  |
|  | GMU 5815 | GMU 5815 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 217 |  |
| Harvest index | GMU 264 | GMU 264 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $5815 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 3806 |  |
|  | GMU 5815 | GMU 5815 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 196 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 217 |  |
|  | GMU 7359 | GMU 7359 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 3806 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 3806 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 196 |  |
| Oil content | GMU 196 | GMU 196 | GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 217 | GMU 5267x GMU 196 | GMU 5267x GMU 196 |
|  | GMU 3806 | GMU 3806 | GMU 5267x GMU 196 | GMU 5267x GMU 196 | GMU 5267x GMU 5815, | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 7359 |
|  | GMU 3714 | GMU 3714 | GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 5815 | GMU $5712 \times$ GMU 5815 | GMU $196 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU $3714 \times$ GMU 7359 |
| Iodine value | GMU 217 | GMU 217 | GMU $217 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU $217 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU $5267 \times$ GMU 264 | GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 264 |
|  | GMU 5815 | GMU 5815 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 5394 | GMU $3806 \times$ GMU 5394 | GMU 5267x GMU 217 | GMU $5267 \times$ GMU 264 |
|  | GMU 196 | GMU 196 | GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $264 \times$ GMU 7359 | GMU $5394 \times$ GMU 264 |  |


| Characters | Env. | GMU 3714 | GMU 3806 | GMU 5267 | GMU 5394 | GMU 5712 | GMU 5815 | GMU 196 | GMU 217 | GMU 264 | GMU 7359 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to flowering | E1 | -0.51** | -0.62** | 0.36** | -0.73** | 0.55** | 0.24* | 0.80** | 0.19 | -0.48** | 0.19 |
|  | E2 | -0.11 | -0.80** | 0.53** | -0.52** | 0.09 | -0.16 | 0.51** | 0.39** | -0.16 | 0.23* |
|  | E3 | -0.64** | -0.36** | 0.25* | -0.67** | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.31** | 0.78** | -0.17 | 0.25* |
|  | P | -0.42* | -0.59* | 0.38** | -0.64* | 0.24** | 0.08 | 0.54** | 0.45** | -0.27* | 0.22** |
| Days to maturity | E1 | -0.57** | -1.04** | -0.02 | -1.16** | 0.59** | 0.09 | 0.79** | 0.68** | 0.01 | 0.62** |
|  | E2 | -0.26** | -0.28** | -0.81** | -0.64** | 1.97** | -0.59** | 0.11 | 0.88** | -0.51** | 0.13 |
|  | E3 | 1.62** | 1.73** | 0.67** | -0.55** | -0.52** | -1.91** | 0.03 | 0.70** | -1.88** | 0.12 |
|  | P | 0.26** | 0.13** | -0.05 | -0.78* | 0.68** | -0.80* | 0.31** | 0.75** | -0.79* | 0.29** |
| Plant height | E1 | -0.24** | -0.92** | -1.42** | 2.91** | 1.78** | -0.70** | -1.41** | -3.05** | 1.33** | 1.71** |
|  | E2 | -0.43** | -1.03** | -1.34** | 2.57** | 1.77** | -0.53** | -0.76** | -2.73** | 0.98** | 1.49** |
|  | E3 | -0.07 | -0.62** | -1.25** | 2.99** | 1.09** | -0.72** | -0.33** | -2.44** | 0.54** | 0.83** |
|  | P | -0.25* | -0.86* | -1.34* | 2.83** | 1.54** | -0.65* | -0.83* | -2.74* | 0.95** | 1.34** |
| Number of PBs/ plant | E1 | -1.24** | 0.52** | 1.04** | -0.26 | 0.19 | -0.32* | -0.79** | -0.15 | -0.16 | 1.15** |
|  | E2 | -1.46** | 0.12 | 1.53** | 0.31** | 0.24** | -0.18* | -1.12** | -0.44** | -0.61** | 1.61** |
|  | E3 | -1.08** | 0.03 | 1.05** | 0.07** | 0.18** | -0.02 | -0.82** | -0.34** | -0.31** | 1.24** |
|  | P | -1.26* | 0.23** | 1.21** | 0.04 | 0.20** | -0.17* | -0.91* | -0.31* | -0.36* | 1.33** |
| Number of SBs/ plant | E1 | -1.48** | 0.26** | 1.36** | 0.07** | 0.20** | 0.26** | -0.86** | -0.73** | -0.43** | 1.34** |
|  | E2 | -1.47** | 0.15** | 1.22** | 0.09** | 0.34** | 0.11** | -1.21** | -0.36** | -0.43** | 1.56** |
|  | E3 | -1.29** | 0.07** | 0.96** | 0.07** | 0.32** | -0.01 | -0.80** | -0.36** | -0.25** | 1.30** |
|  | P | -1.41* | 0.16** | 1.18** | 0.08** | 0.29** | 0.12** | -0.96* | -0.48* | -0.37* | 1.40** |
| Total capitula on PBs | E1 | -1.54** | 0.30** | 1.24** | 0.05** | 0.17** | 0.10** | -1.02** | -0.38** | -0.49** | 1.57** |
|  | E2 | -1.44** | 0.11** | 1.50** | 0.27** | 0.19** | 0.23** | -1.13** | -0.50** | -0.68** | 1.43** |
|  | E3 | -1.13** | 0.01 | 0.94** | 0.11** | 0.14** | 0.05** | -0.70** | -0.34** | -0.27** | 1.19** |
|  | P | -1.37* | 0.14** | 1.23** | 0.14** | 0.17** | 0.13** | -0.95* | -0.41* | -0.48* | 1.40** |
| Number of effective capitula/ plant | E1 | $-2.08 * *$ | 0.19** | 2.45** | 0.66** | -0.13 | 0.19 | $-1.23 * *$ | $-1.03 * *$ | -1.04** | 2.02** |
|  | E2 | -2.20** | 0.30** | 2.63** | 0.57** | -0.07** | 0.12** | -1.20** | -1.09** | -1.18** | 2.12** |
|  | E3 | -1.96** | 0.33** | 2.34** | 0.55** | 0.07** | 0.07** | -1.16** | -1.02** | -1.13** | 1.90** |
|  | P | -2.08* | 0.28** | 2.47** | 0.59** | -0.04 | 0.13** | -1.20* | -1.05* | -1.12* | 2.02** |
| Number of seeds/ capitula on PBs | E1 | -5.67** | 2.49** | 2.24** | 0.69** | 3.19** | 1.27** | -5.17** | -0.31 | $-1.14 * *$ | 2.41** |
|  | E2 | -4.79** | 2.57** | 2.32** | 0.98** | 2.84** | 0.23 | -5.10** | -0.24 | -1.10** | 2.29** |
|  | E3 | -4.44** | 2.72** | 0.56** | -0.36* | 2.44** | 0.47** | -4.17** | 0.42** | -1.17** | 3.53** |
|  | P | -4.97* | 2.59** | 1.71** | 0.44** | 2.83** | 0.66** | -4.81* | -0.04 | -1.14* | 2.74** |
| Number of seeds/ capitula on SBs | E1 | -1.37** | 0.66** | 1.13** | -1.81** | -0.64** | -0.20* | -0.89** | $-0.48{ }^{* *}$ | 1.19** | 2.41** |
|  | E2 | -1.00** | 1.17** | 0.92** | -1.83** | -0.92** | -0.22* | -0.97** | -0.47 ** | 0.78** | 2.56** |
|  | E3 | -0.73** | 1.22** | 0.61** | -1.78** | -0.92** | 0.24* | -1.51** | -0.37** | 1.05** | 2.19** |
|  | P | -1.03* | 1.01** | 0.89** | -1.81* | -0.83* | -0.06 | -1.12* | -0.44* | 1.01** | 2.39** |
| 100 seed weight | E1 | -0.21** | -0.03** | -0.12** | -0.20** | -0.16** | 0.13** | 0.01 | 0.12** | 0.19** | 0.27** |
|  | E2 | -0.17** | -0.02* | -0.08** | -0.19** | -0.15** | 0.11** | 0.02* | 0.11** | 0.15** | 0.23** |
|  | E3 | -0.21** | -0.02** | -0.07** | -0.19** | -0.18** | 0.10** | 0.05** | 0.12** | 0.14** | 0.25** |
|  | P | -0.20* | -0.03* | -0.09* | -0.19* | -0.16* | 0.11** | 0.03** | 0.12** | 0.16** | 0.25** |

Table 4: Cont.............

| Seed yield /plant | E1 | -11.08** | 2.89** | 6.23** | -1.27** | 0.53** | 3.70** | -7.91** | -2.44** | -1.08** | 10.42** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E2 | -11.43** | 2.93** | 6.74** | -1.04** | 0.46** | $3.77^{* *}$ | -8.04** | -2.65** | -1.07** | 10.32** |
|  | E3 | -10.94** | 3.01 ** | 6.20** | -0.88** | 0.53** | 3.51 ** | -7.94** | -2.63** | -1.27** | 10.42** |
|  | P | -11.15* | 2.94** | 6.39** | -1.06* | 0.51** | 3.66** | -7.96* | -2.57* | -1.14* | 10.39** |
| Hull content | E1 | -1.40** | -0.52** | -0.57** | 0 | 0.42** | 0.57** | -0.22** | -0.49** | 0.76** | 1.45** |
|  | E2 | -0.94** | -0.41** | -0.31** | -0.26** | 0.23** | 0.33** | -0.26** | 0.17** | 0.38** | 1.07** |
|  | E3 | -0.50** | 0.51** | -1.34** | -0.16** | 0.30** | 0.39** | -0.32** | -0.28** | -0.02 | 1.42** |
|  | P | -0.95* | -0.14* | -0.74* | -0.14* | 0.32** | 0.43** | -0.27* | -0.20* | 0.38** | 1.31** |
| Biological yield/plant | E1 | -42.03** | 10.61** | 22.47** | -2.64** | 2.30** | 11.91** | -26.59** | -3.73** | -7.59** | 35.30** |
|  | E2 | -40.63** | 10.73** | 25.18** | -2.38** | 2.07** | 10.82** | -27.38** | -5.21** | -8.27** | 35.07** |
|  | E3 | -40.71** | 9.63** | 23.02** | -3.54** | 1.74** | 12.07** | -26.68** | -3.84** | -7.93** | 36.24** |
|  | P | -41.12* | 10.32** | 23.55** | -2.85* | $2.04 * *$ | 11.60** | -26.88* | -4.26* | -7.93* | 35.54** |
| Harvest index | E1 | -0.24** | 0.12** | 0.01 | 0.15** | -0.10** | 0.42** | -0.50** | -1.07** | 0.88** | 0.32** |
|  | E2 | -0.03 | -0.04 | -0.12** | 0 | -0.34** | 0.41** | -0.26** | -1.00** | 1.35** | 0.03 |
|  | E3 | -0.21* | 0.28** | 0.20* | 0.29** | -0.13 | 0.32** | -0.59** | -1.20** | 0.89** | 0.16 |
|  | P | -0.16* | 0.12** | 0.03 | 0.15** | -0.19* | 0.38** | -0.45* | -1.09* | 1.04** | 0.17** |
| Oil content | E1 | 1.10** | 1.26** | -0.38** | -0.26* | -0.65** | -0.23 | 1.15** | -0.24* | -0.48** | -1.28** |
|  | E2 | 0.29** | 0.87** | -0.34** | -0.59** | -0.35** | 0.29** | 0.98** | -0.84** | -0.01 | -0.29** |
|  | E3 | 0.13 | 0.60** | -0.56** | -0.20* | $-0.51^{* *}$ | 0.53** | 0.90** | -0.39** | -0.56** | 0.05 |
|  | P | 0.50** | 0.91** | -0.42* | -0.35* | -0.50* | 0.20** | $1.01^{* *}$ | -0.49* | -0.35* | -0.51* |
| lodine value | E1 | -0.43** | -0.17** | 0.99** | 1.15** | 0.09** | -0.87** | -0.43** | -1.18** | 0.23** | 0.62** |
|  | E2 | $-0.37 * *$ | -0.02 | 1.07** | 1.16** | -0.01 | -0.80** | -0.60** | $-1.17 * *$ | 0.18** | 0.55** |
|  | E3 | -0.32** | -0.06** | 1.09** | 1.07** | 0.04* | -0.73** | -0.60** | -1.11** | 0.12** | 0.52** |
|  | P | -0.37* | -0.08* | 1.05** | 1.12** | 0.04** | -0.80* | -0.54* | -1.15* | 0.18** | 0.57** |

Production cultivars with shorter time to $50 \%$ flowering and maturity could be obtained by utilizing GMU 3714, GMU 3806 and GMU 5394 as a parent, since it was the only lines with negative and significant GCA for this trait (Table 4). The highest and negative GCA effect for plant height was for GMU 217 and GMU 5267 which was the shortest genotype among the parents. Therefore, for producing short cultivars of safflower, GMU 5267 can be used in breeding programs.
Genotype GMU 3806 and GMU 196had the highest mean of oil content among parental lines and also the highest positive GCA effects (1.26*) and (1.15*) for this trait. This genotype was a germplasm line and it has a good genetic potential for oil content improvement. However, among the progenies, the highest oil content belonged to the cross GMU $196 \times$ GMU 5267 in $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ (3.90) showed highest positive SCA effect followed by with a high SCA effect. This indicates that both GCA and SCA effects should be considered in choosing the parental lines in breeding programs of safflower.
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